Reviewing Trends in Mandatory Human Rights Due diligence



Irit Tamir

Oxfam America United States

Irit.tamir@oxfam.org

@itamir77

Oxfam.org



Human Rights Due Diligence in UNGPs | Overview

UNGPs Principle 17: "In order to identify, prevent, mitigate and account for how they address their adverse human rights impacts, business enterprises should carry out human rights due diligence. The process should include assessing actual and potential human rights impacts, integrating and acting upon the findings, tracking responses, and communicating how impacts are addressed"



Scope of Responsibility under the UNGPs



Scope of Responsibility under the UNGPs

RELATIONSHIP	DEFINITION
CAUSED	A business CAUSES a human rights impact when its activities (including omissions) materially increase the risk of the specific impact which occurred and would be sufficient, in and of themselves, to result in that impact.
CONTRIBUTED TO	A business CONTRIBUTES to a human rights impact when its activities (including omissions) materially increase the risk of the specific impact even if they would not be sufficient, in and of themselves, to result in that impact.
DIRECTLY LINKED TO	A business is LINKED to a human rights impact when it has established a relationship for mutual commercial benefit with a state or non-state entity, and in performing activities within the scope of that relationship, the entity materially increases the risk of the impact.

Human Rights Due Diligence

- Consider ALL human rights
- Consider own operations as well as products, services, and business relationships
- Use external and/or internal human rights experts
- Meaningful consultation with rights holders
- Address and integrate findings
- Report on progress
- Prioritize only if you must



Company Response on Human Rights

Businesses across industries have begun to implement programs to understand and manage human rights impacts.

Human Rights Policies

 Committed to respect human rights through a policy or statement

Governance

Established internal governance mechanisms

Impact Assessments

Conducted human rights due diligence

Public Reporting

Report commitments and progress on human rights through CSR reports and standalone issue reports

	aur Dis	FUJÎTSU N <i>ep</i> ^{Joh}	Cíti monaJo		IBA I	lioTin Micro	
Ć	<i>Sca Cola</i> S Telia	Enterp		WGLO MERICAN	PP MARK SPENC	ululu cisco S& DER	
BARRI	ск ^{≞GO} TOSH	LDCORP	🥞 🕈 at&t	CVSHealth <u>Telefonia</u>	843		
Nestie	Rio	Duiteur	RICSSON	ABN·A	mro 🤇		storaenso

Impact Assessment



Business and Human Rights Norms Are Hardening



Business and Human Rights Norms Are Hardening

Legislation on business and human rights has evolved from transparency to corporate liability. Legislation is likely to expand geographically and increase in stringency.

TRANSPARENCY	DUE DILIGENCE	CORPORATE LIABILITY	WHAT'S NEXT?
• Legislation began with expectations to report on action, even if no action	 Legislation then evolved to require human rights due diligence. 	 Now legislation links human rights due diligence with existing civil corporate 	 Mandatory due diligence expectations are likely to expand beyond Europe.
 Existing Legislation: CA Transparency in Supply Chain Act, UK Modern Slavery Act 	 Existing Legislation: The Dutch Child Labour Due Diligence bill. 	 liability. Existing Legislation: France's Duty of Vigilance Law. 	 If self-governance continues to lag, international binding treaties may be applied (e.g. UN Binding Treaty)





French Corporate Duty of Vigilance Law

Scope

• French HQ companies with 5000 employees, or those with an office in France that employ a minimum of 10,000

Companies in scope of the law are required to establish, publish and implement a vigilance plan on an annual basis. This vigilance plan must include:

- Appropriate measures to identify, prevent and mitigate risks to human rights and the environment
- A means to assess the situations of supply chains, subsidiaries or subcontractors in relation to risk mapping
- A collection method for actual and potential risks, and planned actions to mitigate risks and prevent violations
- Monitoring to assess the efficiency of implemented measures
- If companies fail to publish or implement a vigilance plan, any concerned parties can turn to relevant jurisdiction for action, in which case the company has a three-month period to meet their obligation. After this time period, companies could be subject to a fine of up to 10 million euros.



French Corporate Duty of Vigilance Law Gaps

- Burden of Proof
- Implementation
- Thresholds
- Soft law to hard law
- "Paper-based" exercise, or mere reporting
- No transparency on coverage of suppliers and subcontractors
- Only applies to Tier 1
- No measurement for plans effectiveness
- Whistleblowing and alert mechanisms are often also not described

EU Proposal for Corporate Sustainability Due Diligence

Scope and Gaps

- EU companies with 500+ employees and turnover of €150 million
- Prevent human rights and environmental abuses in supply chains, by carrying out 'due diligence'.
- Higher risk industries (agriculture and fashion), with 250+ employees and turnover of €40 million
- Non-EU companies in the market that exceed these turnover thresholds
- SMEs are exempt
- Only applies to less than 0.2% of EU companies
- Liablity for harms committed at home or abroad by their subsidiaries, contractors and suppliers
- Victims can file lawsuits before EU courts.
- By adding clauses in contracts with suppliers could offload verification process to third parties thus only being liable for first tier
- Parallel legislative processes are advancing in different countries across Europe with the potential to fill in the gaps of the Commission's proposal



Let's continue the conversation!

Post questions and comments via chat in the IAIA22 platform.



Irit Tamir

Oxfam America United States

Irit.tamir@oxfam.org

@itamir77

Oxfam.org

